UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,174	04/07/2006	Yuki Miura	448252001600	6816
	7590 10/14/200 FOERSTER LLP	9	EXAMINER	
425 MARKET	STREET		STORK, KYLE R	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/575,174	MIURA, YUKI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	KYLE R. STORK	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Se</u>	entember 2009						
	action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,— , , , — , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
•	coloction requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/575,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This final office action is in response to the amendment filed 2 March 2009.

2. Claims 1-19 are pending. Claims 1, 10, and 19 are independent claims.

The rejection of claim 19 under 35 USC 101 has been withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendment.

The rejection of claims 1-19 under 35 USC 103 over Beranek et al. (GB 2329309, published 17 March 1999, hereafter Beranek) and further in view of Chiloyan et al. (US 7165109, filed 12 January 2001, hereafter Chiloyan) has been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beranek et al. (GB 2329309, published 17 March 1999) and further in view of Fisher et al. (US 2005/0091224, filed 22 October 2003, hereafter Fisher).

As per independent claim 1, Beranek discloses a device information display system for displaying device internal information of one or more information devices, comprising:

Art Unit: 2178

an information browsing unit which acquires and analyzes document data described in a markup language, converts the acquired document data into layout data having a prescribed structure based on results of the analysis, and makes a display based on the layout data (page 5, line 7- page 6, line 20; page 8, lines 9-17)

generation of display data having a structure equivalent to the prescribed structure of the layout data generated by the information browsing unit, and displays the generated display data through the information browsing unit (page 5, line 7- page 6, line 20; page 8, lines 9-17: Here, information about the device is obtained. Based upon this information, the display is generated via a proxy server to define a device specific display).

Beranek fails to specifically disclose wherein a device information providing unit which acquires the device internal information of the one or more information devices, displays data contains device internal information. However, Fisher discloses a device information providing unit which acquires the device internal information of the one or more information devices, displays data contains device internal information (Figure 1; paragraph 0018: Here, system information is obtained about each system. This system information is loaded into a page generation module, which displays the system information within a web page template). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have combined Fisher with Beranek, since it would have allowed a user to view device specific parameters.

As per dependent claim 2, Beranek discloses the device information displaying system wherein the device information providing unit has stylized data corresponding to the type of information device to be used as a base of the display data (page 8, line 9-17).

Art Unit: 2178

As per dependent claim 3, Beranek discloses wherein the stylized data are prepared in multiple type corresponding to the types of information devices (page 2, lines 9-20).

As per dependent claim 4, Beranek discloses wherein the device information providing unit further has a function of writing operation information, including at least one of setting information and a control instruction, into the one or more information devices (Figure 6: Here, the remove/replace operation is a rewrite of page information).

As per dependent claim 5, Beranek discloses wherein the device information providing unit includes a device information interface which functions as an interface for receiving a request signal according to a prescribed procedure and executing the acquisition of the device internal information from the one or more information devices and the writing of the operation information according to the request signal (Figure 4: Here, the HTTP Proxy acts as the interface between the client browser and the server).

As per dependent claim 6, Beranek discloses wherein:

the information browsing unit and the device information unit and the device information providing unit are implemented in one information device (Figure 4: Here, the client contains a browsing unit)

the device information interface acquires the device internal information of the one information device (Figure 4; column 8, lines 9-17)

As per dependent claim 7, Beranek discloses wherein the device information interface is connected to the one or more information devices via a wired and/or wireless network and acquires the device internal information from the one or more information devices via the network (Figure 1).

As per dependent claim 8, Beranek discloses wherein the one or more information devices include at least one of a cellular phone, a home information appliance, and a vehicle-mounted device (Figure 2A).

As per dependent claim 9, Beranek discloses wherein the device internal information includes at least one of information on the types of the information devices and information on peripheral devices of each of the one or more information devices (page 8, lines 9-17).

As per claims 10-18, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claims 1-9, respectively. Claims 10-18 are similarly rejected.

As per claim 19, the applicant discloses the limitations similar to those in claim 1. Claim 19 is similarly rejected.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KYLE R. STORK whose telephone number is (571)272-4130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,174 Page 6

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kyle Stork/

Kyle R Stork Primary Examiner Art Unit 2178

krs